

VIRTUALIZATION

CLOUD

APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT

HEALTH IT

NETWORKING

STORAGE ARCHITECTURE

DATA CENTER MANAGEMENT

BI/APPLICATIONS

DISASTER RECOVERY/COMPLIANCE

SECURITY

# *What to Do, What Not to Do in Enterprise Data Governance*

Properly governing data can lead to a huge benefit: better business decisions. But doing it poorly will likely lead to nothing but lost time—and suspect decision making.

1

EDITOR'S NOTE

2

WORST PRACTICES IN  
ENTERPRISE DATA GOVERNANCE

3

MASTER DATA GOVERNANCE  
SUCCESS HINGES ON  
'DYNAMIC' TEAM

4

MEETINGS, METRICS SPUR DATA  
GOVERNANCE BEST PRACTICES



[Home](#)

[Editor's Note](#)

[Worst Practices  
in Enterprise Data  
Governance](#)

[Master Data  
Governance  
Success Hinges  
on 'Dynamic'  
Team](#)

[Meetings,  
Metrics Spur Data  
Governance Best  
Practices](#)

## Govern Your Data the Right Way

**GOOD GOVERNANCE IS** as much about doing things the right way as not doing things the wrong way. In this three-part guide, you'll get both sides of the issue: How data governance done right will add value to your business—and how data governance done wrong will make more work for your company without any of the benefits.

First things first: Create a framework. The purpose is to make sure the end product is quality data that your company can use and in which your users can find valuable information.

It's more important now than ever, as the so-called tenets of big data—volume, velocity and variety—increase exponentially in IT systems. Business leaders are moving toward “data-driven applications,” writes reporter Jack Vaughan, in a story on SearchDataManagement. But, he adds, “they also see a need to reign in unbridled efforts.” Said R. Madhavan, managing director and chief data scientist at JP

Morgan Chase: “We've seen a huge amount of interest [in using big data] across lines of business, but not a lot of discipline.”

One who has known success is Michele Koch, director of the data governance office at Sallie Mae. One tip she relates in an interview in this handbook is to build a team. “That was really important to kind of get everybody together in the same room,” she said.

But don't try to solve all of your company's data governance problems at once, writes consultant Rick Sherman. Establish the framework for dealing with data governance issues, and then take them on one at a time. “It's almost impossible to establish a data governance program while at the same time tackling data problems that have taken years to build up.”

Good advice. ■

SCOT PETERSEN

*Editorial Director, SearchDataManagement*



# Worst Practices in Enterprise Data Governance

- Home
- Editor's Note
- Worst Practices in Enterprise Data Governance
- Master Data Governance Success Hinges on 'Dynamic' Team
- Meetings, Metrics Spur Data Governance Best Practices

**MORE AND MORE** companies are recognizing that they're accumulating ever-increasing amounts of data but not necessarily gaining business insights from it. The missing link is the transformation of data into information that is comprehensive, consistent, correct and current. That isn't a problem technology can solve for you: The key step is establishing a data governance program to help your organization treat its data as a corporate asset by enforcing consistent definitions, rules, policies and procedures.

That's the goal, at least. Although enterprise data governance efforts have been launched at many companies, the success rate of these initiatives hasn't been encouraging. There's a lot of advice available on [data governance best practices](#) that should be adopted. The following discusses the top "worst practices" and pitfalls that enterprises need to avoid. Consider it a roadmap of red flags to alert you that your

governance program may be heading down the wrong path.

■ **Buy-in but not commitment.** IT often regards data governance sponsorship as business executives writing a check and putting people on a governance committee. While that is in fact a great first step, the business needs to do more. People from the business side need to create the data definitions, business rules and key performance indicators (KPIs) for a data governance program; achieve agreement on them across an organization; enforce usage and compliance; and ensure that the definitions, rules and KPIs are updated on an ongoing basis as business needs evolve and change. The reality is that in the vast majority of cases, data governance tasks are merely tacked on to the already overloaded schedules of business managers instead of being made a priority, with other responsibilities correspondingly getting



Home

Editor's Note

Worst Practices  
in Enterprise Data  
Governance

Master Data  
Governance  
Success Hinges  
on 'Dynamic'  
Team

Meetings,  
Metrics Spur Data  
Governance Best  
Practices


taken off their to-do lists. Without a real business-resource commitment, data governance will take a back seat to the daily firefight and will never be implemented effectively.

■ **Ready, fire, aim.** One thing most organizations have gotten right on the enterprise data governance efforts I'm familiar with is creating a governance steering committee and a separate governance working group. The steering committee should have business representatives from across the enterprise, and the working group typically is made up of the data stewards who do the real governance labor. What organizations often get wrong is the timing: They form these panels and assign people to them before they really understand the scope of the data governance program and the roles and responsibilities of the participants. A guaranteed way to stall a data governance initiative in its tracks and lead the business to lose interest is to prematurely organize the management framework and then realize you need a do-over.

■ **Trying to fix everything at once.** A significant trap that many data governance efforts

fall into is trying to solve all of an organization's data problems in the initial phase of the project. Or companies start with their biggest data problems, issues that span the entire enterprise and are likely to be very political. It's almost impossible to establish a data governance program while at the same time tackling data problems that have taken years to build up. This is a case in which you need to "think globally and act locally." In other words, data problems need to be broken down into incremental deliverables. "Too big, too fast" is a sure recipe for disaster.

■ **Going to extremes.** In the story of Goldilocks and the three bears, the little girl keeps encountering things that are either one extreme or another, which is precisely what happens on many data governance programs. Either the program is too high-level and substantive data issues are never really dealt with, or it attempts to create definitions and rules for every data field in every table in every application that an enterprise has—with the result being that the effort gets bogged down in minutiae. There needs to be a happy compromise



Home

Editor's Note

Worst Practices  
in Enterprise Data  
Governance

Master Data  
Governance  
Success Hinges  
on 'Dynamic'  
Team

Meetings,  
Metrics Spur Data  
Governance Best  
Practices

between those two extremes that enables the data governance initiative to create real business value—just like Goldilocks eventually found porridge that was “just right.”

■ **Committee overload.** The good news about governance steering committees and working groups is they get people representing various business units and departments involved in the [governance process](#). The bad news is they tend to get a lot of people involved in the process. Often, the more people on each committee, the more politics comes into play and the more watered-down governance responsibilities become. To be successful, try to limit the size of committees to between six and 12 people and make sure that committee members have the required decision-making authority.

■ **Failure to implement.** If the data definitions, business rules and KPIs are created but not used in any business processes, a data governance effort won't produce any business value. The governance process needs to be a complete feedback loop in which data is defined, monitored, acted upon and changed

when appropriate. Creating definitions and rules without implementing them is like getting blueprints drawn but never building a house. Similarly, ongoing communication about governance initiatives frequently doesn't take place. That can result in business users going back to their old habits and the data governance program losing momentum.

■ **Not dealing with change management.** If enterprise data governance is to be successful, both business and IT processes need to be changed; however, the accompanying need for change management is seldom addressed. People and process issues, and the internal politics resulting from them, are challenges that need to be tackled.

■ **Assuming that technology alone is the answer.** This can be an issue in enterprises that buy master data management, data integration or data quality software—or a mix of the three—to support their data governance programs. The combination of vendor hype and high price tags often sets expectations that the software will do all the hard work and enable



Home

Editor's Note

Worst Practices  
in Enterprise Data  
Governance

Master Data  
Governance  
Success Hinges  
on 'Dynamic'  
Team

Meetings,  
Metrics Spur Data  
Governance Best  
Practices

organizations to avoid the nasty people, process and political issues. Sorry—an enterprise may indeed find value in purchasing software, but it's the internal interactions that make or break a governance effort.

■ **Not building sustainable and ongoing processes.** Even if the initial investment in time, money and people is adequate, many organizations don't establish a budget, get resource commitments or design data governance processes with an eye toward sustaining the governance effort over the long haul.

■ **Ignoring “data shadow systems.”** A common governance mistake is to focus on an enterprise's transactional “systems of record” and

[business intelligence](#) (BI) systems, assuming that all the important data can be found there. But often, key information is located in “data shadow systems” scattered throughout an organization. For example, the “real” BI reports and analytical findings used by business workers often end up in so-called spreadsheets in Excel. Ignore such data at your peril.

In general, it's well understood that enterprise data governance needs to be a joint business and IT endeavor. What gets organizations in trouble is how they actually go about implementing governance programs. But if you stay away from the mistakes and missteps outlined above, you'll be better positioned for governance success. —*Rick Sherman*



# Master Data Governance Success Hinges on ‘Dynamic’ Team

[Home](#)

[Editor’s Note](#)

[Worst Practices in Enterprise Data Governance](#)

[Master Data Governance Success Hinges on ‘Dynamic’ Team](#)

[Meetings, Metrics Spur Data Governance Best Practices](#)

**TYPICAL “WORKER BEES”** are incredibly valuable to any organization. They show up on time, speak when spoken to, get their jobs done and go home at 5 p.m. Just don’t ask them to join your master data governance team.

Instead, a master data governance team should consist of an organization’s most capable, energetic and charismatic leaders, because they will be called upon to make and enforce unpopular decisions.

“You’ve got to get the right dynamic people,” said Joe Young, an information delivery manager at computer printer manufacturer Lexmark International Group Inc. in Lexington, Ky. “When you go from little or informal data governance to formal master data governance across an organization, you’ve got to have people that [can] stand up and say, ‘That’s not the way we do it anymore.’”

The term *master data governance* refers to the policies, processes, controls and audit

functions used to manage and secure an organization’s critical master data. *Master data* refers to an organization’s common business data entities—such as customer, product and supplier—and their associated values and attributes.

In a 2010 blog post, Gartner analyst Andrew White explained that master data governance provides a framework in which processes are maintained that assure a single and consistent view of master data whenever business workers need it.

“Master data governance does not care for any one specific need; it has to care about needs for all uses—analytical, operational, transactional, whatever,” White explained. “However, master data governance does not [assure] the right business decision is taken [or that] the actual desired business outcome is achieved. [Master data governance] is an enabler, not an end to itself.”



Home

Editor's Note

Worst Practices  
in Enterprise Data  
Governance

Master Data  
Governance  
Success Hinges  
on 'Dynamic'  
Team

Meetings,  
Metrics Spur Data  
Governance Best  
Practices

## THE GOVERNANCE TEAM

Many large-scale master data governance initiatives are headed up by councils that are charged with overseeing everything from defining master data to implementing programs to establishing policies and procedures. For example, a master data governance council might decide to implement a standard corporate [hierarchy for master data management](#) in an effort to increase consistency across business units.

But such decisions are often unpopular with business units accustomed to a higher level of autonomy, Young warned. That's why it's important to have council members who are skilled in the art of diplomacy.

The council will be charged with examining all important data and processes related to key entities such as customer and supplier. But it should also come to closely understand any interdependencies that cross a number of domains, said Jodi Maciejewski, director of data governance at packaged foods company ConAgra Foods Inc. in Omaha, Neb.

"It's not just looking at the data and processes for each area," she said. "It's looking at how they relate to each and what are

dependencies of those processes."

When looking for the right master data governance council members and project leaders, it's a good idea to start at the top of the organization, Young said. At Lexmark, which instituted a program three years ago, that meant getting the chief financial officer (CFO) on board right away.

*"[Master data governance] is an enabler, not an end to itself."*

—ANDREW WHITE, an analyst at Gartner

"We started with [the CFO and] he got engagement from the line-of-business vice presidents," he said. "That's when we formed a council."

The council then met regularly for six months to identify and scope out [master data problems](#) and begin generating mutually agreeable recommendations as to what needed to change.

"We hadn't bought any tools. We hadn't written a line of code. We hadn't analyzed one record," Young said. "Before we started



Home

Editor's Note

Worst Practices  
in Enterprise Data  
Governance

Master Data  
Governance  
Success Hinges  
on 'Dynamic'  
Team

Meetings,  
Metrics Spur Data  
Governance Best  
Practices

anything, we got that council set up.”

Council members should be flexible and prepared to adapt as master data governance policies change along with business objectives, Maciejewski said.

*It's important to have master data governance team members who are skilled in the art of diplomacy.*

“You may have a plan that you think is going to work, but as you get in and start executing the plan you find out that you’re not structured the way you should be or you’re not looking at the right processes [in the] right way,” she said. “One of the things that we’ve learned is that you need to be flexible.”

## THE SAME LANGUAGE

A good master data governance council should also set out to make sure that every business unit is speaking the same language when it comes to master data, said Jolene Jonas, a data architect with Santa Clara, Calif., microprocessor giant Intel Corp.

Before implementing Intel’s internal master data governance program, the company gathered key stakeholders in a room to hammer out the precise meaning of words like *customer* and *supplier*.

“It’s so critical in governance that we’re all always talking the same language and it’s consistent across the enterprise,” Jonas said. “If I have the key stakeholders together buying off on that, that’s a [great] first step.”

—Mark Brunelli



## Meetings, Metrics Spur Data Governance Best Practices

Home

Editor's Note

Worst Practices  
in Enterprise Data  
Governance

Master Data  
Governance  
Success Hinges  
on 'Dynamic'  
Team

Meetings,  
Metrics Spur Data  
Governance Best  
Practices

**MICHELE KOCH KNOWS** a great deal about data governance best practices. As director of the data governance office at Sallie Mae Inc., Koch has for years led a companywide governance program and making sure that the Newark, Del., student loan provider's business and IT operations are on the same page when it comes to [data governance policies](#) and procedures.

A frequent speaker at information management conferences, Koch says one of the keys to Sallie Mae's ongoing enterprise data governance success is its ability to measure and quantify the business impact that the governance efforts are having. It also helps, she says, to have a large contingent of business representatives sharing their concerns and hammering out agreements to resolve any conflicts that arise when new data governance policies are implemented.

SearchDataManagement spoke with Koch,

who also is Sallie Mae's director of enterprise data management, about the company's data governance program and her view of best practices for data governance. Excerpts from the interview follow:

***Did you have any trouble getting executive buy-in and sponsorship for the data governance initiative at Sallie Mae?***

The vice president [of IT] and the CIO bought in right away. We told them this was part of being successful, and they bought into it. I'll tell you, though—and this was a little interesting—IT middle management was much harder to sell. I really think they felt like they were already over-allocated and this was just one more thing that we wanted them to do. I did get pushback. But then, finally, our CIO told them that we are going to do this, that this is going to be part of your everyday work and that it's



Home

Editor's Note

Worst Practices  
in Enterprise Data  
Governance

Master Data  
Governance  
Success Hinges  
on 'Dynamic'  
Team

Meetings,  
Metrics Spur Data  
Governance Best  
Practices

really not going to take up that much of your time. [That] was an eye-opener for me. I was not expecting that.

***How did you structure the data governance program?***

We have a data governance office, and I'm responsible for that. It includes me, my assistant and the chief data steward. So it is more like an actual team, but we have other people helping us. I'm lucky because I can tap into other resources. We established a data governance council that is made up of representatives from every line of the business—I think [we're up to] 22 to 25 representatives. We [have] IT subject matter experts, data SMEs and business SMEs who we pull in and out as we need. We have a data quality services team, which is also under me. [Everyone] on the council has their real jobs; it's just that this is another role for them. There isn't anybody who does this full time.

***Does your chief data steward come from the business side of the organization?***

She works in loan accounting and was our controller. She has been with the company for a long time and has also worked at a very high level at a couple of our [loan] servicing centers. She's great because she knows the business inside and out—she knows finance and the accounting [and] how everything affects our 10-K and general ledger. She also knows who to contact [when data issues arise]. That saves me a lot of time, because it would take me five phone calls to get to that same person.

***Would you say, then, that she serves as a liaison between the business and IT?***

You know, I think of myself as that, and I think of her as that as well. I kind of consider myself to be both business and IT. In other words, I'm not so technical where all I can talk about is the technical stuff. I'm able to take that and explain it in normal business terms. [The chief data steward] has a business background, but she [understands when we say what a certain piece of data] is going to mean. She gets that, and then she'll go and explain it to other people. It's just a good partnership.



[Home](#)

[Editor's Note](#)

[Worst Practices  
in Enterprise Data  
Governance](#)

[Master Data  
Governance  
Success Hinges  
on 'Dynamic'  
Team](#)

[Meetings,  
Metrics Spur Data  
Governance Best  
Practices](#)

***What are some of the biggest missteps that you and your team at Sallie Mae have taken on the long, winding road to enterprise data governance?***

This was not a terrible mistake or anything, but when I started, I was so new to all of this that I didn't think about metrics until after it was done. And then we went back and tried to do [the metrics], and it took a lot more effort to do it after the fact.

What I tell everybody now is that you should think about metrics up front. Think about the kinds of metrics you want to track and track them as you go along. That was a lesson learned. I [also] underestimated the amount of time that it takes to do stakeholder care and to communicate, and it was a big eye-opener to realize how much of this is hand-holding and, you know, doing those five versions of every darn presentation. I still have to force myself to do that. I don't come natural to that because I'm an IT person [laughs]. But I've learned.

***Could you give some examples of your favorite data governance best practices?***

Well, there are a couple of things. I always say the first thing that I did right was insisting that we pull everybody together the very first time [for two days of meetings about the data governance initiative]. That was really important to kind of get everybody together in

***“You should think about metrics up front. Think about the kinds of metrics you want to track and track them as you go along. That was a lesson learned.”***

*—MICHELE KOCH, director of the data governance office at Sallie Mae Inc.*

the same room. All the little light bulbs went off, and they saw how [data in] one business area could impact another business area. [Another example was] creating the “cookbooks” and documenting all of the policies and procedures—and doing that in PowerPoint. Then I could take the PowerPoint slides and make my presentations with them. That was a huge timesaver.



Home

Editor's Note

Worst Practices  
in Enterprise Data  
Governance

Master Data  
Governance  
Success Hinges  
on 'Dynamic'  
Team

Meetings,  
Metrics Spur Data  
Governance Best  
Practices

***How do you measure the results of your data governance efforts?***

We measure how the program is doing. We measure the number of issues that are opened, closed or works in progress. We measure the number of [data] fields that we've standardized and what the maturity level is for those fields. We measure the business value of working through a data quality issue. We can show potential business value if we fix the problem, and then we can show actual value, too. We've done

some good work [on metrics] over the last six months.

***What does the future hold for Sallie Mae's data governance program?***

We're going to keep going. Our company has been going through drastic changes with all that has been happening in the [financial] markets. My thing is that we need to stay flexible and change with the company so that we can continue to add value. —*Mark Brunelli*



ABOUT  
THE  
AUTHORS

Home

Editor's Note

Worst Practices  
in Enterprise Data  
Governance

Master Data  
Governance  
Success Hinges  
on 'Dynamic'  
Team

Meetings,  
Metrics Spur Data  
Governance Best  
Practices

**RICK SHERMAN** is the founder of Athena IT Solutions, a Maynard, Mass., firm that provides data warehouse and business intelligence consulting, training and vendor services. He blogs at *The Data Doghouse* and can be reached at [rsherman@athena-solutions.com](mailto:rsherman@athena-solutions.com).

**MARK BRUNELLI** is the former news director of TechTarget's Business Applications and Architecture Media Group. Previously, he was senior site editor of SearchDataManagement. To comment on his stories, email [editor@searchdatamanagement.com](mailto:editor@searchdatamanagement.com).



*What to Do, What Not to Do in Enterprise Data Governance* is a [SearchDataManagement.com](http://SearchDataManagement.com) e-publication.

Scot Petersen | Editorial Director

Jason Sparapani | Managing Editor, E-Publications

Joe Hebert | Associate Managing Editor, E-Publications

Craig Stedman | Executive Editor

Linda Koury | Director of Online Design

Neva Maniscalco | Graphic Designer

Doug Olender | Publisher  
[dolender@techtarget.com](mailto:dolender@techtarget.com)

Annie Matthews | Director of Sales  
[amatthews@techtarget.com](mailto:amatthews@techtarget.com)

**TechTarget**

275 Grove Street, Newton, MA 02466

[www.techtarget.com](http://www.techtarget.com)

© 2014 TechTarget Inc. No part of this publication may be transmitted or reproduced in any form or by any means without written permission from the publisher. TechTarget reprints are available through [The YGS Group](#).

**About TechTarget:** TechTarget publishes media for information technology professionals. More than 100 focused websites enable quick access to a deep store of news, advice and analysis about the technologies, products and processes crucial to your job. Our live and virtual events give you direct access to independent expert commentary and advice. At IT Knowledge Exchange, our social community, you can get advice and share solutions with peers and experts.