



WHITEPAPER

# The 7 Deadly Traps of IPv6 Deployment – and How to Avoid Them

## The 7 Deadly Traps of IPv6 Deployment – and How to Avoid Them

---

By all accounts, 2011 is a significant year for IT. We will look back and remember that it was the year that we finally exhausted all available public IPv4 addresses<sup>i</sup>. It was also the year that the clock started ticking on your adoption of IPv6.

Like it or not, the transition to IPv6 will happen in your organization. How that transition should occur is a question we sought to answer as we spoke with engineers and IT professionals who have deployed IPv6 from across the globe. In those conversations and in our research, we discerned a rational action plan for deploying IPv6 and specific threats and challenges any practitioner will face when rolling out the new addressing scheme.

Most practitioners agreed that organizations should begin with running IPv4 and IPv6 in parallel for the foreseeable future, starting at the perimeter of the network, then the core and only then the end-nodes. As deployments begin to impact internal networks, care must be paid to vulnerabilities with existing protocols and adoption plans by enterprise equipment. There are many indications that the sheer management of the IPv6 address space will challenge many organizations. The expansion to 128 bit addressing will simply break some IP address management databases and be impossible to manage by spreadsheet alone.

### IPv4 Addresses Have Run Out

The pool of unallocated addresses have run out, and the Regional Internet Registries (RIRs) will exhaust their local pools commencing late 2011 and through 2012.

Today, all new Internet services will continue to require IPv4 addresses to access the IPv4 part of the Internet, but the registries over time will no longer freely supply those IPv4 addresses. Some IPv4 addresses will be available from service providers, but those supplies will not last for long. Yet, the pressure to find another 200 million will continue to mount. By 2015, 17 percent of the Internet will use IPv6, with 28% of new Internet users running the protocol<sup>ii</sup>.

Numerous approaches have been used to extend the life of IP addresses. The two most popular – the use of public Network Address Translation (NAT) and the recovering unused public addresses – face specific challenges. Early Internet pioneers, such as the government, IBM, AT&T and MIT, were granted large blocks of IP address. Today, many of those addresses remain unused and there's been a call to move those addresses back into the public domain. It's an effort that's underway and may extend of the availability of IPv4 addresses, but cannot satisfy the need for a billion new IPv4 addresses over the next five years.

A longer-term possibility is to extend the use of NAT into carrier networks. Cascading NAT or multiple translation points could, in theory, extend the life of IPv4, but come with numerous problems. For one, the use of NAT introduces significant architectural complexity into the Internet, threatening to break peer-to-peer applications and other basic functionality. What's more, NAT devices need to retain state information on each session. The more sessions, the more resources are required, and the more complex and costly the NAT. Adding NAT into the core of carrier networks is likely to become an incredibly expensive and complex undertaking.

Even then, NAT scalability will be constrained by the limitations of today's IPv4 stacks. NAT devices share IPv4 address by assigning port numbers to each unique session. With 65,536 ports per address, port limitations are rarely an issue with small networks. However, as networks grow those limitations quickly become a design consideration. If a single IPv4 address is being shared among 2,000 customers, that leaves about 30 sessions per user. Yet modern applications run numerous sessions in parallel. According to research conducted by Nippon Telephone and Telegraph (NTT)<sup>iii</sup> and presented to the IETF, a Yahoo page may create as many as 20 sessions, a Google image search will create 30 to 60 sessions and if you view a YouTube video you're using 90 sessions. On average, users typically require 500 sessions, significantly limiting the ability for NAT to support the millions of users that will need to traverse them.

## Reasons Why IPv6 Should Concern Your Organization Now.

Common wisdom is that since organizations run private IPv4 addressing schemes today they can ignore the transition to IPv6 in the foreseeable future. In reality, it's much more complex. IT decisions are impacted not only by technical concerns, but also by longer term operational and business concerns. This combination will drive many organizations to adopt IPv6 sooner than might be expected.

- 1. Immediate Need** - For equipment and service providers addressing the public sector the requirement to adopt IPv6 in their equipment and services is immediate. The U.S. Federal Government has an active mandate to adopt the technology. By September 30, 2012, all agencies across the U.S. Federal Government shall deploy IPv6 on their public facing Internet presence. By September 30, 2014, all agencies shall upgrade their entire internal infrastructure to leverage the benefits of IPv6. Agencies must also designate an IPv6 Transition Manager and only procure equipment and services that are IPv6 compatible.<sup>iv</sup>
- 2. Application Requirements** - In addition, a new generation of applications and services simply won't function using the IPv4 protocol because of the lack of addresses. These emerging solutions radically expand the notion of Internet application to devices and appliances not commonly found on the Internet today. Sensors, appliance-based controls, power management (smart grid), 4G wireless / LTE – all will remain unconnected without the plethora of addresses provided by IPv6. As these applications are introduced, the network effect will take hold, further driving IPv6 adoption.
- 3. Loss of critical Web statistics** - To serve those users on IPv6, enterprises can't simply address them through IPv4 to IPv6 translation. Even if the IPv6 devices can reach your website through the use of translation techniques the enterprises that deliver Internet services will lose key customer information. Various marketing metrics delivered by software tools, such as identification of visitor information, country, and organization, are based on Web server logs which will require native IPv6.
- 4. Operational risks** - Operationally, businesses need to consider the potential risks that are introduced by the depletion of IPv4 addresses and what this will mean to the organization. What plan does your organization have in place to migrate its public facing Web sites and applications to IPv6? The reduction in available IPv4 address will increasingly become a significant risk to the organization. How long will it be before a Sarbanes Oxley and SAS 70 auditors start requiring disclosure of IPv4 exhaustion as a business continuity risk?

## Stages of IPv6 Deployment

It is recommended that the organization adopt a multi-step staged deployment of IPv6:

**Stage 1: Implement IPv6 on your external facing Internet presence** – Given that there will be significant introduction of IPv6 only clients in the coming months and years, namely new mobile devices, organizations should support IPv6 on external facing Web sites, mail servers and other applications. There are two choices on how to achieve this, either run an IPv4 to IPv6 translation technology or run both IPv4 and IPv6 protocol stacks on Internet facing infrastructure.

Translating between the IPv4 protocol and IPv6 protocol introduces a number of operational concerns. All traffic will need to traverse the protocol translation (PT) device creating a potential performance bottleneck. Availability is also of concern - requiring redundancy and other high availability and performance features in the PT device. As such, protocol translation introduces significant architectural complexity into the network design.

A better alternative is a dual stack architecture where the Internet facing infrastructure runs both IPv4 and IPv6 stacks. With this approach there is no single point of failure or performance bottleneck with which the IT organization must contend. There are, of course, dual routing domains which need to be monitored and managed appropriately.

**Stage 2: Migrate the core backbone and WAN to dual stack**– The organization should consider deploying IPv6 internally on switches and routers by the end of 2012. to achieve parity with industry direction. This means that one must switch over to a dual stack deployment of all internal switching and routing within 2011, leaving enough time for testing and analysis for IPv6 end point deployment which should begin in 2012. WAN migration though is more than just evaluating the routing infrastructure. Services must be IPv6 compatible and if not an IPv6 migration plan needs to be articulated by the carrier as to how they will be IPv6 compatible. WAN optimization equipment, firewalls, and the infrastructure and security components impacting the WAN must also be IPv6 compatible.

**Stage 3: Migrate the Intranet to IPv6** - With the routing and switching (core backbone) infrastructure in place, organizations should enable local IPv6 access to the Intranet. This can also be done by using an IPv6 to IPv4 protocol transition device. However, for the reasons enumerated above, the preferred deployment route is dual stack design.

**Stage 4: Implement IPv6 Internet access** – The prevalence of IPv6 enabled sites is growing. Back in 2008, just a little more than three percent of Autonomous Systems (AS) announced IPv6 routes. In 2010 that number reached nearly eight percent. Content on the IPv6 network is also starting to grow with the Google announcement that YouTube would be IPv6 compatible. Netflix has already demonstrated IPv6 access. Also, eBay and Facebook plan to deploy IPv6 enabled sites. We can assume that most content will be IPv4 compatible for the foreseeable future; however, some services will be better suited for IPv6 access, such as connectivity to native IPv6 smart phones and devices.

**Stage 5: Enable native IPv6 access to the end client** – With the core IPv6 infrastructure in place organizations should then push native IPv6 access to the edge of their networks. This inflection point requires significant changes in how IPv6 addresses are assigned and managed, which we'll discuss later.

At the very least though, if we assume that one amortizes the cost of equipment for three to five years, all new equipment purchased today by organizations must be IPv6 compatible. Regardless of how one evaluates the exact cut-over to IPv6, for most organizations, all the projections anticipate a transition to a significant amount of IPv6 within the next three years. Furthermore, as IPv6 clients grow, a network effect will occur, likely accelerating the adoption of this new protocol.

## The 7 Deadly Traps of IPv6 Deployment – And How to Avoid Them

---

What should organizations watch for as they develop their approach to IPv6? Clearly, some things are well known. A thorough audit is needed of all equipment and software. Staff education of IPv6 is necessary and networking policies need to be reassessed or put into place. However, in speaking with practitioners that have deployed IPv6, we've found a number of additional important lessons to be learned:

- 1. Review how you will configure and track IP addresses** - The most fundamental change in IPv6 is the impact this new protocol has on IP address assignment and management. With the shift from 32-bit IPv4 addressing to 128-bit IPv6 addressing, organizations must reconsider how they assign and track their IP addresses. While manual tools, such as spreadsheet programs, may have been useful in tracking IPv4 addressed networks they become impossible to use with IPv6. The sheer length of IPv6 addresses makes human address management impractical, if not impossible.. Organizations should move to automated IP Address Management (IPAM) tools that are fully IPv6 compatible, such as IPAM products from Infoblox.
- 2. Review your DNS architecture** In later stages, when planning for IPv6 on internal networks, organizations will also need to assess the IPv6 readiness of the rest of the IP management infrastructure. Naturally, if you use Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) for address assignment, you need to ensure your DHCP server is IPv6 compliant. But DHCP is only part of the requirements for supporting an IPv6 end point. It also requires configuring IPv6 DNS domain support, DNS server addresses, network time server addresses and more, all of which is related to the DHCP server.

It is critical that the IT organization run a modern DNS infrastructure that is equipped to deliver both IPv4 (A Records) and IPv6 (AAAA Records). It is also critical that both DNS and DHCP must be interoperability tested to ensure compatibility between the systems.

- 3. Security and maintenance policies need to be considered.** Every organization needs to understand and prepare for the likelihood they will need to update their maintenance and security policy when they implement IPv6. The vulnerabilities of the IPv4 stack are well known since they have run wild for so many years, but there simply has not been the same level of experience with IPv6. As a consequence, thorough threat assessment of the new protocol is needed. Organizations, in turn, need to review their security posture as they deploy the new protocols.
- 4. Inventory your current network infrastructure.** It should go without saying that an IPv6 migration can only begin once an organization understands what's actually deployed within their current IPv4 based network. IT must conduct a thorough analysis of its network infrastructure and how traffic is routed in order to deploy IPv6. As you implement IPv6 in each subnet you need to make sure your path to the backbone is fully enabled for the new protocol or you will have a broken link.

- 5. Application compatibility can still be a challenge.** Organizations can't assume that their network applications will continue to function as expected on an IPv6 network. They should be tested before a switchover. The changes in the IPv6 stack imply that new TCP layer 4 protocols (TCP6 and UDP6) will be deployed and that could impact some applications.

Take VoIP, for example, the popular Asterisk PBX only became compatible with IPv6 in the fall of 2010. According to Timothy Winters, a senior manager at the University of New Hampshire Interoperability Laboratory (UNH-IOL), one of two organizations currently accredited by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), to perform the U.S. Government IPv6 (USGv6) compliance testing .

- 6. Make sure your backend tools are updated.** The process of managing and troubleshooting an IPv6 network will require a new set of tools, or at the very least a revision of old ones. At both administrative and the maintenance levels, organizations need to recheck their existing toolkits to be sure those tools are IPv6 compatible. For example, the sheer length of IPv6 addresses, poses problems for some databases which are unable to store IPv6 address. Many IT managers who have neglected to check before converting have reported that analyzers and the other monitoring tools tend not be IPv6 compatible.
- 7. Network performance may suffer .** IPv6 introduces changes that may impact network performance. Header size is doubled from that of IPv4 increasing to 40 bytes. In applications that rely on small packet size there will be a noticeable impact on application performance. SIP, for example, uses small packets, on average about 1000 bytes in length. The header increase will add about 2 percent on to the packet size – not a huge increase but enough to impact extreme cases. Practically, research has shown similar differences in IPv6 performance. <sup>v</sup>

Performance is an issue with IPv6 networking equipment. While most system vendors have some sort of IPv6 implementation strategy, the performance of systems running IPv6 protocols is likely to be suspect. The IPv6 protocol is generally supported in the firmware of many network vendors, but it is not yet optimized in silicon, notes Jerry Johnson CIO of the Pacific Northwest Laboratory. Firmware migration is an initial step, but significant deployments of IPv6 internally will require a hardware upgrade in much of the networking infrastructure to maintain the level of performance customers and employees expect today.

- 8. SPAM tools need to be reinvented.** SPAM blocking solutions have relied heavily on the use of DNS blacklists or blocklists, known as DNSBLs, but DNSBLs will not work effectively in IPv6. With IPv4, the limited supply of IP addresses means that hosts will have at most a few hundred addresses, so listing and blocking individual addresses is trivial. With IPv6, however, the large number of addresses will enable hackers to allocate thousands of addresses to a server and simply jump from address to address for each new SPAM message.

Listing IPv6 ranges in the DNSBL, as is done in IPv4, isn't a feasible approach as the ranges are so vast that the caches and DNS servers will be overloaded. What's more, since DNS caches tend to keep the most recent answers around in preference to older ones, the flood of DNSBL data will force all of the other DNS information out of the cache. On most systems, DNSBLs use the same cache as all other DNS queries, so it will also increase the load on every other DNS server, re-fetching answers that were flushed out of the cache. Even if the DNSBL servers use a single DNS wildcard record to cover a large range of DNSBL entries, that doesn't help, because DNS caches can't tell that a response was created from a wildcard, and so it keeps separate entries for each response.

Make sure your SPAM protection vendor has updated their product to address these issues.<sup>vi</sup>

## How Infoblox can Help

Infoblox provides robust automation solutions for DNS, DHCP and IP Address Management (IPAM), and network change and configuration management to help plan, implement and operate IPv6 networks.

Infoblox capabilities address the IPv6 migration issues related to taking inventory, visually mapping, and configuring network equipment discussed in this paper. Infoblox will also help you optimize performance on the network and analyze the network for internal and regulatory policy compliance.

Infoblox DNS, DHCP and IP Address Management products provide a dual stack, appliance based infrastructure for IPv6 capable DNS delivery and visual IPAM tools for IPv6 address space allocation and management. The IPAM tools automate IPAM procedures, to reduce human error associated with complex IPv6 addresses and eliminate repetitive tasks, allowing organizations to easily scale management processes across their enterprise with existing IT staff.

From a network infrastructure point of view, IPv6 impacts the traditional tactics of managing the routers, switches and other core devices. The days of using naming conventions to predict where devices are located and how they are connected are drawing to a close. Infoblox can help organizations automate the discovery, analysis and management of the network infrastructure as you migrate from IPv4 to IPv6.

Using Infoblox products, customers can reduce risks and costs of IPv6 migration and operate a parallel IPv4 and IPv6 DNS and DHCP network service infrastructure. The table below provides a summary of key Infoblox IPv6 capabilities.

### Infoblox solution for IPv6 migration and management

IPv6 Capable External DNS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DNS for IPv6</li> <li>• Dual Stack DNS Appliance</li> </ul>
IPv6 IPAM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Automated IP Address Management</li> <li>• Role based accessibility</li> <li>• Integrated with DNS/DHCP</li> </ul>
Planning tools for Internal IPv6 Migration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Current Network Equipment Inventory (with OS version running)</li> <li>• Current Network Topology and Connectivity</li> <li>• Current Subnet Inventory</li> </ul>
Internal IPv6 Capabilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IPv6 IP Address Allocation, Tracking and Reclaiming</li> <li>• IPv6 Subnet Allocation and Tracking</li> <li>• Dual Stack Devices Tracking (Smart Folders)</li> <li>• Reduced Complexity of Dual Stack Environment &amp; IP Address Explosion</li> </ul>
IPv6 Network Infrastructure Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Automated Network Change and Configuration for IPv6</li> <li>• Compliance, Policy Enforcement and Auditing</li> </ul>

Interested to learn more? Register to see a live Infoblox weekly product demo at: [www.infoblox.com/demo/](http://www.infoblox.com/demo/)

<sup>i</sup> See IPv4 Address Report, Feb 03,2011 (<http://www.potaroo.net/tools/ipv4/>)

<sup>ii</sup> "IT Market Clock for Enterprise Networking", Gartner Group, September 1, 2010

<sup>iii</sup> See <http://www.nttv6.jp/~miyakawa/IETF72/IETF-IAB-TECH-PLenary-NTT-miyakawa-extended.pdf>

<sup>iv</sup> "Memorandum to CIOs for Executive Departments and Agencies", Vivek Kundra, federal chief information officer,

<sup>v</sup> See [http://www.ftsm.ukm.my/network/files/m%20khairil\\_journal%20ijcsns.pdf](http://www.ftsm.ukm.my/network/files/m%20khairil_journal%20ijcsns.pdf)

<sup>vi</sup> "Why DNS Blacklists Don't Work for IPv6 Networks", by John Levine, IPv6 News. See full post "<http://ipv6.net/News/ipv6-news.html>"

For More Information:

+1.408.625.4200

+1.866.463.6256

(toll-free, U.S. and Canada)

[info@infoblox.com](mailto:info@infoblox.com)

[www.infoblox.com](http://www.infoblox.com)